arXiv:math/0202043v1 [math.RA] 5 Feb 2002

WRONSKIANS AS n-LIE MULTIPLICATIONS

A.S. DZHUMADIL'DAEV

Abstract. Filipov proved that Jacobian algebra is n-Lie. In our paper we consider algebras defined on associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ by $(k+1)$-multiplication $V^{0,1,\dots,k} = \partial^0 \wedge \partial^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial^k$ (Wronskian). We study whether they have $(k+1)$-Lie, k-left commutative and homotopical $(k+1)$- Lie structures. We prove that: Wronskian algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is homotopical $(k+1)$-Lie, moreover, $(U, \{0, \lambda_2 V^{0,1}, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} V^{0,1,\dots,i}, \dots ; i = 1,2,\dots\})$ is homotopical for any $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots \in K$; k-left commutative, if and only if $k > 2$; it is $(k+1)$-Lie, if and only if $k = 1$, p is any or $(p,k) = (2,2)$ or $(p,k) = (2,4)$ or $(p,k) = (3,3)$, where p is the characteristic of main field K.

1. Introduction

Let U be an associative commutative algebra with commuting derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$. The following determinant on U, called Jacobian, is well known

$$Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 u_1 & \cdots & \partial_1 u_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_n u_1 & \cdots & \partial_n u_n \end{vmatrix}$$

In [4], [5] it was proved that (U, Jac_n) as n-ary algebra is n-Lie, i.e., Jacobian satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, Jac_n(u_n, \dots, u_{2n-1})) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Jac_n(u_n, \dots, u_{n+i-1}, Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_{n+i}), u_{n+i+1}, \dots, u_{2n-1}).$$

There exists another remarkable determinant, called Wronskian. It is defined on any associative commutative algebra U with one derivation ∂ by the rule

$$V^{0,1,\dots,k}(u_0, \dots, u_k) =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} u_0 & u_1 & \cdots & u_k \\ \partial\, u_0 & \partial\, u_1 & \cdots & \partial\, u_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial^k u_0 & \partial^k u_1 & \cdots & \partial^k u_k \end{vmatrix}$$

The aim of our paper is to study algebra U as n-ary algebras under wronskian multiplications.

Let A and M be vector spaces and $T^k(A,M) = Hom(A^{\otimes k}, M)$ be a space of polylinear maps $A \times \cdots \times A \to A$ with k arguments. Set $T^0(A,M) = M$ and $T^k(A,M) = 0$, if $k < 0$. Set $T^*(A,M) = \oplus_k T^k(A,M)$.

Let $\wedge^k A$ be k-th exterior power of A and $C^k(A,M) = Hom(\wedge^k A, M)$ be subspace of $T^k(A,M)$. Set $C^0(A,M) = M$ and $C^k(A,M) = 0$, if $k < 0$ and $C^*(A,M) = \oplus_k C^k(A,M)$.

Let A be algebra with signature Ω [8]. This means that Ω is a set of polylinear maps $A \times \cdots \times A \to A$. An element $\omega \in \Omega$ is called as *n-ary multiplication*, if $\omega \in T^n(A,M)$. We set $|\omega| = n$, if $\omega \in T^n(A,A)$. If necessary to pay attention to signature, instead of A we will write (A,Ω). If Ω consists of one element ω we set $A = (A,\omega)$.

Let (A,ω) an n-ary algebra with vector space A over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and polylinear map with n arguments $A \times \cdots \times A \to A$. Recall that a linear map $D : A \to A$ is called derivation of A, if

$$D(\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, D(a_i), a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n),$$

for any $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. Let $L_{a_1 \ldots a_{n-1}} : A \to A$ be a linear map defined by

$$L_{a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}} a = \omega(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a).$$

Let $Der\, A$ be an algebra of all derivations of the algebra (A,ω). An algebra (A,ω) is called *n-Lie* [4], if ω is skew-symmetric and

$$L_{a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}} \in Der\, A,$$

for any $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in A$. About n-Lie algebras called also as Nambu, Filipov, Takhtajan see also [9], [10].

Let Sym_k be the permutation group and $sign\, \sigma$ is signum of the permutation $\sigma \in Sym_k$. Denote by $Sym_{k,l}$ the subset of k,l-shufle permutations, i.e., permutations $\sigma \in Sym_{k+l}$, such that $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k), \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k+l)$. Usually by the set of permutations for Sym_k one understands the standard set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, but in our paper we use some non-standard sets of order k, something like $2, 3, \ldots, k+1\}$ in definition of Q_l. Form the context will be clear what kind of sets we use.

An algebra (A, ω) with n-ary multiplication ω is called $(n-1)$-*left commutative*, if

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{2n-2}} sign\, \sigma\, \omega(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n-1)}, \omega(a_{\sigma(n)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2n-2)}, a_{2n-1})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}, a_{2n-1} \in A$.

Call an algebra (A, ω) as *homotopical n-Lie* [11], if $\omega \in C^n(A, A)$ and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{n-1,n-1}} sign\, \sigma\, \omega(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n-1)}, \omega(a_{\sigma(n)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2n-2)}, a_{\sigma(2n-1)})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}, a_{2n-1} \in A$. In [6] such algebra is called Lie n-algebra.

An algebra (A, Ω) is called *homotopical* Lie algebra [11], if Ω consists of elements $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots,$ such that $\omega_k \in C^k(A, A)$ and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{i-1,j}} sign\, \sigma\, \omega_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i-1)}, \omega_j(a_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i+j-1)})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{i+j-1} \in A$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

In section 2 we prove that any n-Lie algebra is $(n-1)$-left commutative and any $(n-1)$-left commutative algebra is homotopical n-Lie. Examples of Wronskian algebras show that inverse of these statements are not true.

Let U be associative commutative algebra with derivation ∂. Let $V^{i_1,\dots,i_k} = \partial^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial^{i_k}$ be the *generalized Wronskian,* i.e.,

$$V^{i_1,\dots,i_k}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial^{i_1} u_1 & \cdots & \partial^{i_k} u_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial^{i_k} u_1 & \cdots & \partial^{i_k} u_k \end{vmatrix}$$

For example, $V^{0,1,2,\dots,k}$ is the standard Wronskian.

Theorem 1.1. *Let U be any associative commutative algebra over the field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and derivation ∂. Then*

i. *For any $k > 0$, the algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is homotopical $(k+1)$-Lie. Moreover, $(U, \{0, \lambda_{i+1} V^{0,1,\dots,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots\})$ is homotopical Lie, for any $\lambda_i \in k, \lambda_1 = 0$.*
ii. *The algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k}), k > 0$, is k-left commutative, if and only if $k \neq 2$.*
iii. *The algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is $(k+1)$-Lie, if and only if one of the following conditions are hold*
   - *$k = 1$ and p is any prime number or 0*
   - *$k = 2$ and $p = 2$*
   - *$k = 3$ and $p = 3$*

- $k=4$ *and* $p=2$.

Remark. One can check that $(U,\{V^{0,1},\lambda_3V^{0,2,3},\lambda_4V^{0,2,3,4}\})$ is homotopical, if and only if $-5\lambda_3^2+7\lambda_4=0$ and there are no homotopical prolongation for $\{V^{0,1},V^{0,1,4}\}$. Description of homotopical structures with beginning part $V^{0,1}$ will be discussed in another paper. Results will follow from the following general fact. Suppose that A is Ω-homotopical Lie algebra, where $\Omega=\{\omega_k\in\wedge^k(A,A):k=1,2,\dots\}$, such that $\omega_1=0$. Denote by A^{lie} an algebra A under Lie multiplication ω_2. Then $\omega_k\in Z^k(A^{lie},A^{lie})$, for any $k\geq 2$.

By theorem 1.1, Wronskians as n-Lie multiplications in sense of Filipov, for $n>2$ can appear only in cases of small characteristics $p=2,3$. In [4] it was established the following result: if A is n-Lie with multiplication ω then A is $(n-1)$-Lie under multiplication $i(a)\omega$, for any $a\in A$. Using this construction from $(k+1)$-Lie algebras $V^{0,1,\dots,k}$ one can obtain another n-Lie algebras with $n\leq k$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $n\geq 2$ *and* $p=char\,K\geq 0$. *The following generalized Wronskians are* n*-Lie multiplications*

- $n=2$
  - $p=2, V^{2^r-2^l,2^r}, 0\leq l\leq r$
  - $p=2,\sum_{i=1}^{2^l}V^{i,2^l+1-i}, 0<l$
  - $p=3, V^{2\cdot 3^r,3^{r+1}}, 0\leq r$
- $n=3$
  - $p=2, V^{1,2,4}$
  - $p=2, V^{2,3,4}$
  - $p=2,\sum_{i=1}^{2^l}V^{0,i,2^l+1-i}, 0<l$
  - $p=3, V^{1,2,3}$
- $n=4$
  - $p=2, V^{1,2,3,4}$
  - $p=3, V^{0,1,2,3}$
- $n=5, p=2, V^{0,1,2,3,4}$

Over a fields of characteristic 0 *Wronskian* $V^{0,1,\dots,k}$ *can serve as* n*-Lie multiplication only in case of Lie algebras,* $n=2,k=1$.

Corollary 1.3. *Let* U *be associative commutative algebra with derivation* ∂ *over a field of characteristic* $p=3$. *Endow* U *by* 3*-multiplication* $V^{1,2,3}$. *Then* U *is a triple Lie system.*

It is well known that, if D_1,D_2 are derivations of some associative commutative algebra U, then $D_1\wedge D_2:(u,v)\mapsto D_1(a)D_2(b)-D_2(a)D_1(b)$ is a Lie multiplication. In case of small characteristics

might happen that $D \wedge F$ is also Lie multiplication, if D or F is not a derivation.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $D \in Der\, U$ be a derivation of some associative commutative algebra U. Then the multiplication $D^2 \wedge D^3 : (u, v) \mapsto D^2(u)D^3(v) - D^2(v)D^3(u)$ endows U by a structure of Lie algebra, if $p = 3$. The multiplication $D^{2^k} \wedge D^{2^k-1} : (u, v) \mapsto D^{2^k}(u)D^{2^k-1}(v) - D^{2^k-1}(u)D^{2^k}(v)$ is also endows U by a structure of Lie algebras, if $p = 2$.*

Our calculations are based on two ideas. The first idea (a polynomial trick) means the following. Suppose that some statement $\mathcal{X}$ about associative commutative algebra with unit U and commuting derivations $\mathcal{D} =< \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n >$ was obtained by using

- linear properties of U
- associativity, commutativity and unit properties of U
- Leibniz rule for derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$.
- commuting property of derivations $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Then this statement is true for any associative commutative algebra with unit and commuting derivations.

In particular, we can take as U the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial\, x_i$ or algebra of divided power polynomials (in case of $p > 0$)

$$O_n(\mathbf{m}) = \{x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x^{(\alpha_i)} : 0 \le \alpha_i < p^{m_i}, \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)\},$$

$$x^\alpha x^\beta = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_i + \beta_i}{\alpha_i} x^{\alpha+\beta},$$

with special derivations

$$\partial_i : x^\alpha \mapsto x^{\alpha-\epsilon_i}, \epsilon_i = (0, \cdots, 0, \underset{i}{1}, 0, \cdots, 0), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We can consider the statement $\mathcal{X}$ for (divided) polynomial algebras.

The second idea concerns $\mathcal{D}$-invariant polynomials [2]. Let $\psi \in T^k(U, U)$ be polylinar map. It is called $\mathcal{D}$-invariant, if

$$\partial\psi(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, \partial u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_k),$$

for any $u_1, \dots, u_k \in U$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{D}$. In other words, ψ is $\mathcal{D}$-invariant, if any $\partial \in \mathcal{D}$ is derivation for ψ. Notice that U is $\mathcal{D}$-graded:

$$U = \oplus_{s\ge 0} U_s, U_s U_l \subseteq U_{s+l},$$

$$U_0 =< 1 >,$$
$$\partial_i U_s \subseteq U_{s-1},$$
$$U^{\mathcal{D}} = \{u \in U : \partial_i u = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} = U_0.$$

For graded $\mathcal{D}$-invariant polylinear map $\psi \in T^k(U,U)$ denote by $\pi\psi$ a polylinear form $\pi\psi \in T^k(U,U_0)$, defined on homogeneous basic elements $e_1, \dots, e_k \in U$ by

$$\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) = \psi(e_1, \dots, e_k),$$

if $\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in U_0$ and

$$\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) = 0,$$

if $\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \oplus_{s>0} U_s$. Call $\pi\psi$ as a *support* of ψ and k-typle of basic homogeneous elements $(e_1, \dots, e_k)$, such that $\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \neq 0$ as a *supporting chain*. Let Γ be a set of supporting chains. Then [2] ψ can be restored by support $\pi\psi$ in a unique way. Namely,

$$\psi(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \sum_{\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\} \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}(u_1)}{\alpha_1!} \dots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_k}(u_k)}{\alpha_k!} \pi\psi(x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_k}).$$

So, to find $\mathcal{D}$-polylinear form it is enough to calculate its support. We us this method in calculations of $Q\psi$, $Q_{short}\psi$, $Q_{long}\psi$ and $Q_{alt}\psi$. In our case $n = 1$ and the restoring formula looks more simpler

$$\psi = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \Gamma} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \frac{\partial^{i_1} u_1}{i_1!} \dots \frac{\partial^{i_k} u_k}{i_k!},$$

where $\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \pi\psi(x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_k}) \in K$. In case of divided polynomials here x^i should be changed to $x^{(i)}$ and $\frac{\partial^i u}{i!}$ to $\partial^i u$. Calculations of support chains and support forms was made by Matematica.

2. Connections between n-Lie, $(n-1)$-left commutative and homotopical n-Lie structures

Define quadratic maps

$$Q, Q_{short}, Q_{long}, Q_{alt} : C^k(A,A) \to T^{2k-1}(A,A),$$

by

$$Q\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) = \psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \psi(a_k, \dots, a_{2k-1}))$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(a_k, \dots, a_{k+i-1}, \psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+i}), a_{k+i+1}, \dots, a_{2k-1}),$$

$$Q_{long}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) =$$
$$\sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,k}, \sigma(k-1) = 2k-1} sign\, \sigma\, \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-2)}, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)})),$$

$$Q_{short}\psi(a_1,\dots,a_{2k-1}) =$$
$$\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_{k-1,k},\sigma(2k-1)=2k-1} sign\,\sigma\,\psi(a_{\sigma(1)},\dots,a_{\sigma(k-1)}),\psi(a_{\sigma(k)},\dots,a_{\sigma(2k-2)},a_{2k-1})),$$

$$Q_{alt}\psi(a_1,\dots,a_{2k-1}) =$$
$$\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_{k-1,k}} sign\,\sigma\,\psi(a_{\sigma(1)},\dots,a_{\sigma(k-1)},\psi(a_{\sigma(k)},\dots,a_{\sigma(2k-2)},a_{\sigma(2k-1)})),$$

These definitions divide elements $\{a_1,\dots,a_{2k-1}\}$ into two types. Call elements of the $(k-1)$ elements subset $\{a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}\}$ as a *short* and elements of the k elements subset $\{a_k,\dots,a_{2k-1}\}$ as a *long.*

Notice that $Q\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A,A)$ is skew-symmetric by short arguments and by long arguments, i.e., by first $(k-1)$ arguments and last k arguments, if $\psi \in C^k(A,A)$. It is easy to see that, $Q_{short}\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A,A)$ is skew-symmetric by all short arguments and skew-symmetric by all long arguments, except one, if $\psi \in C^k(A,A)$. Similarly, $Q_{long}\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A,A)$ is skew-symmetric by all long arguments and by all short arguments except one, if $\psi \in C^k(A,A)$. Notice that $Q_{alt}\psi \in C^{2k-1}(A,A)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $\omega \in C^k(A,A)$ and one of the following conditions are held*

- $p=0, k>2$,
- $p>0, k\not\equiv 0,1(mod\,p), k\equiv 1(mod\,2)$,
- $p>0, k\not\equiv -1,2(mod\,p), k\equiv 0(mod\,2)$.

Take place the following statements.

i) If $Q\omega=0$, then $Q_{short}\omega=0$, and $Q_{long}\omega=0$.

ii) If $Q_{short}\omega=0$ or $Q_{short}\omega=0$, then $Q_{alt}\omega=0$.

Proof. Call $\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,k}$ as a short permutation, if $\sigma(k-1)=2k-1$. To any short permutation σ corresponds $k-2$-typle $r'(\sigma)$ and k-typle $r''(\sigma)$ defined by

$$r'(\sigma)=\{\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(k-2)\},$$
$$r''(\sigma)=\{\sigma(k),\dots,\sigma(2k-1)\}.$$

Call $\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,k}$ as a long permutation, if $\sigma(2k-1)=2k-1$. To any long permutation σ corresponds $k-1$-typle $r'(\sigma)$ and $k-1$-typle $r''(\sigma)$ defined by

$$r'(\sigma)=\{\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(k-1)\},$$
$$r''(\sigma)=\{\sigma(k),\dots,\sigma(2k-2)\}.$$

Notice that

$$r'(\sigma)\cup r''(\sigma)=\{1,\dots,2k-2\},$$

for any $\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,k}$. In other words, any $r'(\sigma)$ is uniquely defined by $r''(\sigma)$.

Call an element of the form

$$A_\sigma := \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

as a short (long) σ-element, or just short (long) element, if σ is short (long) permutation. Let $Sym^s_{k-1,k}$ be the set of short permutations and $Sym^l_{k-1,k}$ be the set of long permutations. It is evident that

$$Sym_{k-1,k} = Sym^s_{k-1,k} \cup Sym^l_{k-1,k}$$

and

$$Q\psi = Q_{short}\psi + Q_{long}\psi, \tag{1}$$

The identity $Q\psi = 0$ for $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$ gives us that

$$\psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)})) =$$

(2)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i-1}\psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, \widehat{a_{\sigma(k+i)}}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, a_{\sigma(k+i)})).$$

In particular, (2) means that, any short σ-element can be presented as a sum of k long elements. More exactly, the short element A_σ is a sum of k long elements of the form A_τ, where the long permutation τ satisfies the condition $r'(\tau) \subset r''(\sigma)$. Since $|r''(\sigma) \setminus r'(\tau)| = 1$, there exists exactly one element, say i, such that $r''(\sigma) = r'(\tau) \cup \{i\}$. Then $i \leq 2k-2$ and i is not equal to $k-1$ elements of $r'(\tau)$. So, there are $k-1$ possibilities to choose i.

In other words, according (2) the element

$$Q_{long}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Sym^s_{k-1,k}} sign\,\sigma\, \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

can be presented in the form

$$(k-1) \sum_{\sigma \in Sym^l_{k-1,k}} \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

So, from conditions $\psi \in C^k(A, A), Q\psi = 0$ one can obtain that

$$Q_{long}\psi = (k-1)Q_{short}\psi. \tag{3}$$

Let us give another interpretation of (2). If σ is a long permutation, then A_σ is a sum of $k-1$ short elements and one long element $A_{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & k-1 & k & \cdots & 2k-2 & 2k-1 \\ \sigma(k) & \cdots & \sigma(2k-2) & \sigma(1) & \cdots & \sigma(k-1) & 2k-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, A_σ can be presented as a sum of short elements of the form A_τ, where $r'(\tau) \subset r''(\sigma)$. More exactly, $r''(\sigma) \setminus r'(\tau) = \{i\}$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-2\}$ and i can not be equal to one of $k-2$ elements of $r'(\tau)$. So, there are k possibilities for the long permutation σ, such that A_τ can be one of summands of A_σ. Notice that

$$sign\,\sigma = (-1)^{k-1} sign\,\tilde{\sigma}.$$

Therefore, summation of $sign\,\sigma\, A_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in Sym^l_{k-1,k}$ according (2) gives us that

$$Q_{short}\psi = k\, Q_{long}\psi + (-1)^{k-1} Q_{short}\psi. \tag{4}$$

We see that the determinant of the system of linear equations (3) and (4) is equal to

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -k+1 \\ k & -1-(-1)^k \end{vmatrix} = -k^2 + k + 1 + (-1)^k$$

Therefore, from the conditions $Q\psi = 0$ and $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$ imply the following identities

$$Q_{long}\psi - 2Q_{short}\psi = 0, \quad k \equiv -1 (mod\, p), k \equiv 0 (mod 2), p > 0,$$
$$Q_{long}\psi + Q_{short}\psi = 0, \quad k \equiv 2 (mod\, p), k \equiv 0 (mod\, 2), p > 0,$$
$$Q_{long}\psi - Q_{short}\psi = 0, \quad k \equiv 0 (mod\, p), k \equiv 1 (mod 2), p > 0,$$
$$Q_{long}\psi = 0, \quad k \equiv 1 (mod\, p), k \equiv 1 (mod\, 2), p > 0,$$

and

$$Q_{long}\psi = 0, Q_{short}\psi = 0, \quad p = 0, k > 2.$$

So, according (1)

$$Q_{alt}\psi = 0,$$

if $p = 0, k > 2$ or $k \not\equiv 0, 1 (mod\, p), k \equiv 1 (mod\, 2)$, or $k \not\equiv -1, 2 (mod\, p), k \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$.

Corollary 2.2. *If an algebra (A, ω) is n-Lie, then it is $(n-1)$-left commutative. If algebra (A, ω) $(n-1)$-left commutative, then it is homotopical n-Lie.*

In particular, any n-Lie algebra is homotopical n-Lie. As show theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the inverse of this statement is not true. Proposition 2.1 for 3-algebras was also noticed in [1], [7].

3. Proof of theorem 1.1 and 1.2

Let

$$\mathbf{Z}^n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z}^n_+ = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Set for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^n$,

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i.$$

Let U be associative commutative algebra with derivation ∂. Let $\psi \in C^k(U,U)$ and $g \in C^l(U,U)$. Define $f \smile g, f \wedge g \in C^{k+l}(U,U)$ and bilinear maps $Q(f,g), Q_{alt}(f,g) \in C^{k+l-1}(U,U), Q_{short}(f,g) \in T^{k+l-1}(U,U)$ by

$$f \smile g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_k) g(u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+l}),$$

$$f \wedge g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{k+l}} sign\, \sigma\, (f \smile g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l)}).$$

$$f \star g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+l-1})),$$

$$Q(f,g) = f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+l-1})) -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+i-2}, f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+i-1}), u_{k+i}, \dots, u_{k+l-1}),$$

$$Q_{alt}(f,g)(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,l}} sign\, \sigma\, (f \star g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l-1)}),$$

$$Q_{short}(f,g)(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sym_{k-1,l-1}} sign\, \sigma\, (f \star g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l-2)}, u_{k+l-1}).$$

Notice that definitions of Q as bilinear maps are compatible with definitions of Q as quadratic maps in the previous section: $Q(f,f) = Q(f), Q_{alt}(f,f) = Q_{alt}(f), Q_{short}(f,f) = Q_{short}(f)$.

Since $(C^*(U,U), \cup)$ is associative, $(C^*(U,U), \wedge)$ is also associative. Let

$$C^k_{loc,s}(U) = \{\partial_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial^{i_k} : 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k, i_1 + \dots + i_k = s\}$$

and

$$C^k_{loc}(U) = \oplus_s C^k_{loc,s}(U).$$

Notice that $V^\alpha \in C^k_{loc,|\alpha|}(U)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^n_+$. Set $|\psi| = s$, if $\psi \in C^k_{loc,s}(U)$.

Let $\psi \in T^k(A,A)$. Define $i(a)\psi \in T^{k-1}(A,A)$ by

$$i(a)\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) = \psi(a, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}).$$

Proposition 3.1. *If ψ is k-Lie, then $i(a)\psi$ is $(k-1)$-Lie for any $a \in A$.*

Proof. See [4].

Proposition 3.1 can be modified by the following way

Lemma 3.2. *If ψ is k-Lie, then for $(k-l)$-Lie multiplications $\psi_l := i(a_1)i(a_2)\cdots i(a_l)\psi$ take place the following relations*

$$Q(\psi_i, \psi_j) = 0, \quad i \le j.$$

Lemma 3.3. $C^k_{loc,s}(U) = 0$, *if* $s < k(k-1)/2$.

Proof. If $0 \neq \partial^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial^{i_k} \in C^k_{loc,s}(U)$, then $s = i_1 + \ldots + i_k \ge 0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + (k-1) = (k-1)k/2$.

Lemma 3.4. [3] *For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^k_+$, and $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}^l_+$,*

$$Q_{alt}(V^\alpha, V^\beta) \in C^{k+l-1}_{loc,|\alpha|+|\beta|}(U).$$

Corollary 3.5.

$$Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1\dots,l}) = 0,$$

for any $k, l > 0$.

Proof. Notice that $|V^{0,1,\dots,k}| = k(k+1)/2$. Therefore

$$Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,l}) \in C^{k+l+1}_{loc,(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2}(U).$$

It is evident, that $(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2 < (k+l+1)(k+l)/2$. Therefore, by lemma 3.3, $C^{k+l+1}_{loc,(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2}(U) = 0$. Thus, $Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,l}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.6. $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,k}) = 0$, *if* $k > 2$. *If* $k = 2$, *then* $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2}, V^{0,1,2}) = V^{0,1,2,3} \smile id$.

Proof. Notice that $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is a linear combination of cochains of the form $(\partial^{i_1} \wedge \partial^{i_{2k}}) \smile \partial^{i_{2k+1}}$, such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k} + i_{2k+1} = k^2 + k$ and $0 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2k}$. We have $i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k} > 0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + (2k-1) = (2k-1)k$. Therefore,

$$k^2 + k = i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k+1} > (2k-1)k.$$

This inequality is not possible, if $k > 2$.

Consider the case $k=2$. We have

$$Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2},V^{0,1,2})=\lambda V^{0,1,2,3}\smile\partial^0, \tag{5}$$

for some $\lambda\in K$. In obtaining this formula we use only associativity, commutativity and linearity properties of U and Leibniz rule for derivation. Therefore, (5) is true for any associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ and λ does not depend from U and ∂. In particular, we can take $U=K[x]$ and $\partial=\partial/\partial x$. We have

$$Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2},V^{0,1,2})(1,x,x^2,x^3,1)=\lambda V^{0,1,2,3}\smile\partial^0(1,x,x^2,x^3,1).$$

Further,

$$Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2},V^{0,1,2})(1,x,x^2,x^3,1)=$$

$$\begin{array}{c}V^{0,1,2}(1,x,V^{0,1,2}(x^2,x^3,1))-V^{0,1,2}(1,x^2,V^{0,1,2}(x,x^3,1))\\ +V^{0,1,2}(1,x^3,V^{0,1,2}(x,x^2,1))+V^{0,1,2}(x,x^2,V^{0,1,2}(1,x^3,1))\\ -V^{0,1,2}(x,x^3,V^{0,1,2}(x,x^2,1))+V^{0,1,2}(x^2,x^3,V^{0,1,2}(1,x,1))\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}=\partial^2(V^{0,1,2}(1,x^2,x^3))-V^{0,1,2}(1,x^2,6x))+V^{0,1,2}(1,x^3,2x^0)\\ +V^{0,1,2}(x,x^2,0)-V^{0,1,2}(x,x^3,2x^0)+V^{0,1,2}(x^2,x^3,0)=12\end{array}$$

and

$$\lambda V^{0,1,2,3}\smile\partial^0(1,x,x^2,x^3,1)=\lambda 12$$

Thus, $\lambda=1$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\psi\in C^k(A,A)$ and X be a linear span of the set $\{a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}\}$ and Y be a linear span of the set $\{b_1,\dots,b_k\}$. Then $Q\,\psi(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1},b_1,\dots,b_k)=0$, if $X\subseteq Y$.*

Proof. Let $X\subseteq Y$ and $dim\,Y=l\leq k$.

Since ψ is skew-symmetric, if $l<k$, then

$$\psi(b_1,\dots,b_k)=0,$$

for any $b_1,\dots,b_k\in Y$ and

$$\psi(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1},b_i)=0,$$

for any $a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}\in X\subseteq Y, b_i\in Y$. Therefore, in this case $Q\,\psi=0(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1},b_1,\dots,b_k)=0$.

Suppose now that $dim\,Y=k$. If $dim\,X<k-1$, by the same reasons,

$$\psi(e_{i_1},\dots,e_{i_{k-1}},c)=0,$$

for any $c\in A$. Thus in this case our lemma is true.

It remains to consider the case $dim\,X=k-1$ and $dim\,Y=k$.

Let $\{e_1,\dots,e_k\}$ be basis of Y, such that $\{e_1,\dots,e_{k-1}\}$ be basis of X. Since $Q\,\psi$ is polylinear by arguments $a_1,\dots,a_{k-1},b_1,\dots,b_k$, to prove our lemma it is enough to establish, that

$$Q\,\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},e_1,\dots,e_k)=0.$$

Since ψ is skew-symmetric,

$$\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},e_i)=0,$$

if $i\le k-1$. So,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{i-1},\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},e_i),e_{i+1},\dots,e_k)=$$

$$\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},e_k)).$$

In other words, $Q\,\psi(e_1,\dots,e_{k-1},e_1,\dots,e_k)=0$. Lemma is proved completely.

Lemma 3.8. *$V^{0,1,2,3}$ is 4-Lie multiplication, if $p=3$.*

Proof. We have

$$|V^{0,1,2,3}|=6\Rightarrow|Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}|=12.$$

The set of all $(3,4)$-partitions of 12 is

$$\Gamma_{3,4}(12)=\{(\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,2,6\}),(\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,3,5\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,2\},\{0,2,3,4\}),(\{0,1,3\},\{0,1,2,5\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,3\},\{0,1,3,4\}),(\{0,1,4\},\{0,1,2,4\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,5\},\{0,1,2,3\}),(\{0,2,3\},\{0,1,2,4\}),(\{0,2,4\},\{0,1,2,3\}),$$
$$(\{1,2,3\},\{0,1,2,3\})\}$$

Thus,

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}=\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma_{3,4}(12)}\lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}V^{\alpha}\smile V^{\beta},\tag{6}$$

where

$$\alpha=\{i_1,i_2,i_3\},\beta=\{i_4,i_5,i_6,i_7\},$$
$$0\le i_1<i_2<i_3,0\le i_4<i_5<i_6<i_7,i_1+\dots+i_7=12.$$

In receiving of formula (6) one uses only the Leibniz rule. Therefore, this formula is universal, i.e., coefficients $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ are integers that do not depend on U and derivation ∂. So, it is true for any associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ In particular, we can take $U=\mathbf{Q}[x],\partial=\partial/\partial_x$. To find $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ we can substitute $a_l=x^{i_l},l=1,\dots,7$ and calculate $Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}$ in $k[x]$. We have

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}=\frac{1}{i_1!\cdots i_7!}Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}.$$

By lemma 3.7,

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,1,x,x^2,x^6)=0,$$
$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^3,1,x,x^3,x^4)=0,$$
$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^4,1,x,x^2,x^4)=0.$$

Thus, if

$$(\alpha,\beta)\in\{(\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,2,6\}),(\{0,1,3\},\{0,1,3,4\}),(\{0,1,4\},\{0,1,2,4\})\},$$

then $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}=0$.

We have

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x^2,x^3,x^4)=\begin{vmatrix}1&x^2&x^3&x^4\\0&2x&3x^2&4x^3\\0&2&6x&12x^2\\0&0&6&24x\end{vmatrix}=48x^3,$$

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^4)=\begin{vmatrix}1&x&x^2&x^4\\0&1&2x&4x^3\\0&0&2&12x^2\\0&0&0&24x\end{vmatrix}=48x,$$

Thus

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,1,x^2,x^3,x^4)=$$
$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x^2,x^3,x^4))-0-0-V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x^2,x^3,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^4))=$$
$$48V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^3)-48V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x^2,x^3,x)=0,$$

and

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,2\},\{0,2,3,4\}}=0.$$

Further,

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^3,x^5)=\begin{vmatrix}1&x&x^3&x^5\\0&1&3x^2&5x^4\\0&0&6x&20x^3\\0&0&6&60x^2\end{vmatrix}=240x^3,$$

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^5)=\begin{vmatrix}1&x&x^2&x^5\\0&1&2x&5x^4\\0&0&2&20x^3\\0&0&0&60x^2\end{vmatrix}=120x^2.$$

Thus

$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,1,x,x^3,x^5)=$$
$$V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^3,x^5))-0-0-V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^3,V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^5))=$$
$$240V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^3)-120V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^3,x^2)=$$
$$360V^{0,1,2,3}(1,x,x^2,x^3)=4320,$$

and

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,3,5\}} = \frac{4320}{0!1!2!0!1!3!5!} = 3.$$

Similar calculations show that

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,3\},\{0,1,2,5\}} = -3, \lambda_{\{0,1,5\},\{0,1,2,3\}} = 3, \lambda_{\{0,2,3\},\{0,1,2,4\}} = \lambda_{\{0,2,4\},\{0,1,2,3\}} = 0$$

So, we have established that

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3} = 3\,V^{0,1,2}\,V^{0,1,3,5} - 3\,V^{0,1,3}\,V^{0,1,2,5} + 3\,V^{0,1,5}\,V^{0,1,2,3}$$

In particular, if $p = 3,$ then

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3} = 0.$$

Corollary 3.9. *If $p = 3,$ then $V^{1,2,3}$ is 3-Lie multiplication and $V^{2,3}$ is 2-Lie multiplication.*

Proof. Follows from lemma 3.8 and proposition 3.1 and the following observations

$$i(1)V^{0,1,2,3} = V^{1,2,3},$$
$$i(x)V^{1,2,3} = V^{2,3}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *$V^{0,1,2,3,4}$ is 5-Lie multiplication, if $p = 2$.*

Proof is similar to the proof of lemma 3.8, so we will omit calculation details. We have

$$|V^{0,1,2,3,4}| = 10 \Rightarrow |Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}| = 20.$$

Therefore, $Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}$ is a linear combination of $V^{\alpha} \smile V^{\beta}$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{4,5}(20),$ and

$$\Gamma_{4,5}(20) = \{(\{0,1,2,3\},\{0,1,2,3,8\}),(\{0,1,2,3\},\{0,1,2,4,7\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,2,3\},\{0,1,2,5,6\}),(\{0,1,2,3\},\{0,1,3,4,6\}),(\{0,1,2,3\},\{0,2,3,4,5\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,2,4\},\{0,1,2,3,7\}),(\{0,1,2,4\},\{0,1,2,4,6\}),(\{0,1,2,4\},\{0,1,3,4,5\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,2,5\},\{0,1,2,3,6\}),(\{0,1,2,5\},\{0,1,2,4,5\}),(\{0,1,3,4\},\{0,1,2,3,6\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,3,4\},\{0,1,2,4,5\}),(\{0,1,2,6\},\{0,1,2,3,5\}),(\{0,1,3,5\},\{0,1,2,3,5\}),$$
$$(\{0,2,3,4\},\{0,1,2,3,5\}),(\{0,1,2,7\},\{0,1,2,3,4\}),(\{0,1,3,6\},\{0,1,2,3,4\}),$$
$$(\{0,1,4,5\},\{0,1,2,3,4\}),(\{0,2,3,5\},\{0,1,2,3,4\}),(\{1,2,3,4\},\{0,1,2,3,4\})\}$$

Thus, there exist $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbf{Z},$ such that

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3,4} = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma_{4,5}(20)} \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)} V^{\alpha} \smile V^{\beta},$$

Calculations as in the proof of lemma 3.8 show that

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3,4} =$$
$$4\,V^{0,1,2,7}\,V^{0,1,2,3,4} + 2\,V^{0,1,3,6}\,V^{0,1,2,3,4} - 2\,V^{0,1,4,5}\,V^{0,1,2,3,4}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2\,V^{0,2,3,5}\,V^{0,1,2,3,4}+2\,V^{0,1,2,6}\,V^{0,1,2,3,5}-2\,V^{0,2,3,4}\,V^{0,1,2,3,5}\\
&-2\,V^{0,1,2,5}\,V^{0,1,2,3,6}-2\,V^{0,1,3,4}\,V^{0,1,2,3,6}-4\,V^{0,1,2,4}\,V^{0,1,2,3,7}\\
&+2\,V^{0,1,3,4}\,V^{0,1,2,4,5}+4\,V^{0,1,2,3}\,V^{0,1,2,4,7}+2\,V^{0,1,2,3}\,V^{0,1,2,5,6}\\
&-2\,V^{0,1,2,4}\,V^{0,1,3,4,5}+2\,V^{0,1,2,3}\,V^{0,1,3,4,6}+2\,V^{0,1,2,3}\,V^{0,2,3,4,5}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $p=2,$ then

$$Q\,V^{0,1,2,3,4}=0.$$

Corollary 3.11. *If $p=2,$ then $V^{1,2,3,4}$ is 4-Lie multiplication, $V^{2,3,4}$ is 3-Lie multiplication and $V^{3,4}$ is 2-Lie multiplication.*

Proof. Follows from lemma 3.10 and proposition 3.1 and the following facts

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{1,2,3,4}&=i(1)V^{0,1,2,3,4},\\
V^{2,3,4}&=i(x)V^{1,2,3,4},\\
V^{3,4}&=i(x^2)V^{2,3,4}/2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. It is easy to calculate $Q\,V^{1,2,3,4}, Q\,V^{2,3,4}, Q\,V^{3,4}$ and $Q\,V^{1,2,3}, Q\,V^{2,3}$ over $\mathbf{Z}$ directly as in calucating $Q\,V^{0,1,2,3,4}$ and $Q\,V^{0,1,2,3}$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q\,V^{1,2,3,4}=\\
&4\,V^{1,2,7}\,V^{1,2,3,4}+2\,V^{1,3,6}\,V^{1,2,3,4}-2\,V^{1,4,5}\,V^{1,2,3,4}\\
&+2\,V^{2,3,5}\,V^{1,2,3,4}+2\,V^{1,2,6}\,V^{1,2,3,5}-2\,V^{2,3,4}\,V^{1,2,3,5}\\
&-2\,V^{1,2,5}\,V^{1,2,3,6}-2\,V^{1,3,4}\,V^{1,2,3,6}-4\,V^{1,2,4}\,V^{1,2,3,7}\\
&+2\,V^{1,3,4}\,V^{1,2,4,5}+4\,V^{1,2,3}\,V^{1,2,4,7}+2\,V^{1,2,3}\,V^{1,2,5,6}\\
&-2\,V^{1,2,4}\,V^{1,3,4,5}+2\,V^{1,2,3}\,V^{1,3,4,6}+2\,V^{1,2,3}\,V^{2,3,4,5}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q\,V^{1,2,3}=\\
&3\,V^{1,2}\,V^{1,3,5}-3\,V^{1,3}\,V^{1,2,5}+3\,V^{1,5}\,V^{1,2,3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.12. *(*Lukas*) If $a=\sum_{i\geq 0}a_ip^i,\ \ 0\leq a_i<p,$ and $b=\sum_{j\geq 0}b_jp^j,\ \ 0\leq b_i<p,$ are p-adic presentations of a and b, then*

$$\binom{a}{b}\equiv\prod_i\binom{a_i}{b_i}(mod\,p)$$

Let

$$O_1(m)=\{x^{(i)}:x^{(i)}x^{(j)}=\binom{i+j}{i}x^{(i+j)},0\leq i,j<p^m\}$$

be divided power algebra over the field K of characteristic $p>0$ and

$$\partial:O_1(m)\to O_1(m),\quad x^{(i)}\mapsto x^{(i-1)},$$

be special derivation.

Lemma 3.13. *Let* $U = O_1(m), p > 0$. *Then*

i. $\partial^q \in Der\, U$ *if and only if* $q = p^k$ *for some* $k > 0$.
ii. $\partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ *is* 2*-Lie, if and only if* $p = 2$ *or* $p = 3, k = 1$.
iii. $\partial^{p^k-2} \wedge \partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ *is* 3*-Lie, if and only if* $p = 3, k = 1$, *or* $p = 2, k = 1$ *or* $p = 2, k = 2$.

Proof. (i) If ∂^q derivation, then

$$\partial^q(x^{(i)}x^{(j)}) = \partial^q(x^{(i)})x^{(j)} + x^{(i)}\partial^q(x^{(j)}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\binom{i+j}{i} - \binom{i+j-q}{i} - \binom{i+j-q}{j} \equiv 0 (mod\, p),$$

for any $0 \leq i, j$. If q is not power of p, say $q = p^k b$, where $b > 1$ and p are mutually prime, then by Lukas lemma,

$$i = p^k, j = p^k(b-1) \Rightarrow \binom{p^k b}{p^k} \equiv b \not\equiv 0 (mod\, p).$$

Contradiction. So, $\partial^q \notin Der\, U$, if q is not power of p.

(ii) Suppose that $\psi = \partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 2-Lie. Then

$$A(i,j,s) := \psi(x^{(i)}, \psi(x^{(j)}, x^{(s)})) - \psi(\psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}), x^{(s)}) - \psi(x^{(j)}, \psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(s)})) = 0,$$

for any $i, j, s \geq 0$. Take $i = p^k, j = p^k + 1, s = 2p^k - 3$. We have

$$\psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \lambda x^{(2)}, \psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(s)}) = \mu x^{(p^k-2)},$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K$ and

$$\psi(x^{(i)}, \psi(x^{(j)}, x^{(s)})) = \psi(x^{(p^k)}, x^{(2)}x^{(p^k-3)} - xx^{(p^k-2)}) =$$

$$2\psi(x^{(p^k)}, x^{(p^k-1)}) = -2.$$

If $p^k > 3$, then $2 < p^k - 1$. Since $\psi(x^{(i)}, u) = 0$, for any $u \in U, i < p^k - 1$, we have

$$A(p^k, p^k + 1, 2p^k - 3) = -2 \neq 0,$$

if $p^k > 3, p \neq 2$.

Now check that ψ is 2-Lie, if $(p,k) = (3,1)$ or $p = 2$.

Let $p^k = 3$, i.e., $p = 3, k = 1$. Prove that $\partial^2 \wedge \partial^3$ is 2-Lie operation on U. Let

$$\omega(a,b) = \partial^2(a)\partial^3(b) - \partial^3(a)\partial^2(b).$$

We have

$$\omega(\omega(a,b),c) =$$

$$\partial^2(\partial^2(a)\partial^3(b))\partial^3(c) - \partial^2(\partial^3(a)\partial^2(b))\partial^3(c) - \partial^3(\partial^2(a)\partial^3(b))\partial^2(c) + \partial^3(\partial^3(a)\partial^2(b))\partial^2(c) =$$

$$\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^4(a)\partial^3(b))}\partial^3(c)+2\partial^3(a)\underline{\partial^4(b)}\partial^3(c)+\partial^2(a)\partial^5(b)\partial^3(c)$$
$$-\partial^5(a)\partial^2(b)\partial^3(c)-2\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^4(a)\partial^3(b)}\partial^3(c)-\partial^3(a)\underline{\partial^4(b)}\partial^3(c)$$
$$-\partial^5(a)\partial^3(b)\partial^2(c)-\partial^2(a)\partial^6(b)\partial^2(c)+\partial^6(a)\partial^2(b)\partial^2(c)+\partial^3(a)\partial^5(b)\partial^2(c)=$$

$$-\partial^4(a)\partial^3(b))\partial^3(c)+\partial^3(a)\partial^4(b)\partial^3(c)+\partial^2(a)\partial^5(b)\partial^3(c)-\partial^5(a)\partial^2(b)\partial^3(c)$$
$$-\partial^5(a)\partial^3(b)\partial^2(c)-\partial^2(a)\partial^6(b)\partial^2(c)+\partial^6(a)\partial^2(b)\partial^2(c)+\partial^3(a)\partial^5(b)\partial^2(c)$$

By similar way one can easy find $\omega(\omega(b,c),a)$ and $\omega(\omega(c,a),b)$. Therefore,

$$\omega(\omega(a,b),c)+\omega(\omega(b,c),a)+\omega(\omega(c,a),b)=$$

$$-\partial^4(a)\underline{\partial^3(b))}\partial^3(c)+\partial^3(a)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^4(b)}\partial^3(c)+\partial^2(a)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^5(b)}\partial^3(c)-\partial^5(a)\underline{\underline{\partial^2(b)}}\partial^3(c)$$

$$-\partial^5(a)\underline{\underline{\partial^3(b)}}\partial^2(c)-\partial^2(a)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^6(b)}\partial^2(c)+\partial^6(a)\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{\partial^2(b)}\partial^2(c)+\partial^3(a)\underline{\underline{\partial^5(b)}}\partial^2(c)$$

$$-\partial^4(b)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^3(c))}\partial^3(a)+\partial^3(b)\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{\partial^4(c)}\partial^3(a)+\partial^2(b)\underline{\underline{\partial^5(c)}}\partial^3(a)-\partial^5(b)\underline{\underline{\partial^2(c)}}\partial^3(a)$$

$$-\partial^5(b)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^3(c)}\partial^2(a)-\partial^2(b)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^6(c)}\partial^2(a)+\partial^6(b)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^2(c)}\partial^2(a)+\partial^3(b)\underline{\partial^5(c)}\partial^2(a)$$

$$-\partial^4(c)\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{\partial^3(a))}\partial^3(b)+\partial^3(c)\underline{\partial^4(a)}\partial^3(b)+\partial^2(c)\underline{\underline{\partial^5(a)}}\partial^3(b)-\partial^5(c)\underline{\underline{\partial^2(a)}}\partial^3(b)$$
$$-\partial^5(c)\underline{\underline{\partial^3(a)}}\partial^2(b)-\partial^2(c)\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{\partial^6(a)}\partial^2(b)+\partial^6(c)\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\partial^2(a)\partial^2}(b)+\partial^3(c)\underline{\underline{\partial^5(a)}}\partial^2(b)$$
$$=0.$$

Let now $p=2$. Prove that for any nonnegative integer k, $\partial^{2^k}\wedge\partial^{2^k-1}$ is 2-Lie operation on U. For

$$\omega=\partial^{2^k}\wedge\partial^{2^k-1}$$

we have

$$\omega(a,b)=\partial(\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\partial^{2^k-1}(b)).$$

Therefore

$$\omega(\omega(a,b),c)=$$
$$\partial(\partial^{2^k}(\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\partial^{2^k-1}(b))\partial^{2^k-1}(c))=$$

$$\partial\left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a)\partial^{2^k-1}(b)\partial^{2^k-1}(c)+\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b)\partial^{2^k-1}(c)\right)=$$

Similarly,

$$\omega(\omega(b,c),a)=$$
$$\partial\left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b)\partial^{2^k-1}(c)\partial^{2^k-1}(a)+\partial^{2^k-1}(b)\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c)\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\right),$$

and

$$\omega(\omega(c,a),b)=$$
$$\partial\left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c)\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\partial^{2^k-1}(b)+\partial^{2^k-1}(c)\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a)\partial^{2^k-1}(b)\right).$$

Thus,

$$\omega(\omega(a,b),c)+\omega(\omega(b,c),a)+\omega(\omega(c,a),b)=$$

$$\partial\Big(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a)\underline{\partial^{2^k-1}(b)}\partial^{2^k-1}(c)+\partial^{2^k-1}(a)\underbrace{\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b)}\partial^{2^k-1}(c)$$
$$+\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b)\underbrace{\partial^{2^k-1}(c)}\partial^{2^k-1}(a)+\partial^{2^k-1}(b)\underline{\underline{\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c)}}\partial^{2^k-1}(a)$$
$$+\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c)\underline{\underline{\partial^{2^k-1}(a)}}\partial^{2^k-1}(b)+\partial^{2^k-1}(c)\underline{\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a)}\partial^{2^k-1}(b)\Big)$$
$$=0.$$

(iii) Suppose that $\psi=\partial^{2^k-2}\wedge\partial^{2^k-1}\wedge\partial^{2^k}, k>2,$ is 3-Lie. Then

$$A(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5)=B(i_1,\dots,i_5)-C(i_1,\dots,i_5)=0,$$

for any $i_1,\dots,i_5\geq 0,$ where

$$B(i_1,\dots,i_5)=\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},\psi(x^{(i_3)},x^{(i_4)},x^{(i_5)})),$$
$$C(i_1,\dots,i_5)=$$
$$\psi(\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_3)}),x^{(i_4)},x^{(i_5)})+\psi(x^{(i_3)},\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_4)}),x^{(i_5)}+$$
$$\psi(x^{(i_3)},x^{(i_4)},\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_5)})).$$

Take $i_1=2^k-2, i_2=2^k, i_3=2^k-1, i_4=2^k+1, i_5=2^{k+1}-4.$ Then

$$\psi(x^{(i_3)},x^{(i_4)},x^{(i_5)})=\begin{vmatrix} x^{(1)} & x^{(3)} & x^{(2^k-2)}\\ x^{(0)} & x^{(2)} & x^{(2^k-3)}\\ 0 & x^{(1)} & x^{(2^k-4)}\end{vmatrix}=x^{(2^k-1)}$$

and

$$\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_3)})=\lambda x^{(0)},$$
$$\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_4)})=\mu x^{(2)},$$
$$\psi(x^{(i_1)},x^{(i_2)},x^{(i_5)})=\nu x^{(2^k-3)},$$

for some $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in K$. If $k > 2$, then $2 < 2^k - 2$. Therefore,

$$B(i_1, \dots, i_5) = 1,$$

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_5) = 0,$$

if $k > 2$. So, if $k > 2$ we obtain contradiction

$$A(2^k - 2, 2^k, 2^k - 1, 2^k + 1, 2^{k+1} - 4) = 1 \neq 0.$$

It is easy to check that $\partial^2 \wedge \partial^3 \wedge \partial^4$ and $\partial^0 \wedge \partial \wedge \partial^2$ are 3-Lie.

Corollary 3.14. (i) $p = 3$. *For any* $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $\partial^{p^k - p^{k-1}} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ *is 2-Lie operation.*

(ii) $p = 2$. *For any* $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}_+, k > l$, $\partial^{p^k - p^l} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ *is 2-Lie operation.*

Proof. i) For $p = 3$, we have $p^k - p^{k-1} = 2p^{k-1}, p^k = 3p^{k-1}$. Since $F = \partial^{p^{k-1}} \in Der\, U$, our statement follows from lemma 3.13 ii used for F instead of ∂.

ii) For $p = 2$, we have $p^k - p^l = p^l(p^{k-l} - 1), p^k = p^{k-l}p^l$. Therefore, for $F = \partial^{p^l}$,

$$\partial^{p^k} = F^{p^{k-l}}, \partial^{p^k - p^l} = F^{p^{k-l}-1}.$$

Our statement follows from lemma 3.13 ii used for $F^{p^{k-l}}$ instead of ∂^{p^k}.

Proof of theorem 1.1

i Corollary 3.5

ii Lemma 3.6

iii Suppose that $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,q})$ is $(q+1)$-Lie. If $q = 1$, then it is 2-Lie for any characteristic $p.$.

Assume that $q > 1$. By lemma 3.1, $V^q = i(1)i(x)\cdots i(x^{(q-2)})V^{0,1,\dots,q}$ is 1-Lie, i.e., $\partial^q \in Der\, U$. If $q > 1$ and $p = 0$ this is not possible.

So $p > 0$. Take $U = O_1(m)$. By lemma 3.13 i, q should be power of p. Suppose that $k = p^t$.

By lemma 3.1, $V^{p^t-1,p^t} = i(1)i(x)\cdots i(x^{(p^t-2)})V^{0,1,\dots,p^t}$ is 2-Lie. By lemma 3.13 ii it is possible in the following cases $p = 2$ or $p = 3, t = 1$.

By lemma 3.1, $V^{2^t-2,2^t-1,2^t} = i(1)i(x)\cdots i(x^{(2^t-3)})V^{0,1,\dots,2^t}$ is 3-Lie. By lemma 3.13 iii this is possible only in the cases $p = 2, t = 1$ or $p = 2, t = 2$. Theorem 1.1 is proved completely.

Proof of theorem 1.2 Corollaries 3.9 and 3.11. Calculations for checking that $\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{0,i,2^l+1-i}, p = 2$, is 3-Lie can be done by analogous way. Then by proposition 3.1 $\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{i,2^l+1-i}, p = 2$, is 2-Lie.

References

[1] H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic, T. Yoneya, *On the quantization of Nambu brackets,* hep-th/9906248 .

[2] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *A remark on the space of invariant differential operators*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ, Ser.1 Mat.Mekh, 1982, No.2, 49-54, 116=engl. transl. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., **37**(1982), No.2, p.63-68.

[3] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Integral and modp− cohomologies of the Lie algebra* W_1 , Funct Anal. Pril. **22**(1988), no.3, 68-70=engl.transl. Funct.Anal.Appl., **22**(1988), No.3, p.226-228 (1989).

[4] V.T. Filipov, *n-Lie algebras,* Sibirsk. Mat.Zh. **26**(1985), no. 6, 126-140, 191=engl. transl. Siberian Math. J., **26**(1985), no.6, 879-891

[5] V.T. Filipov, *On n-Lie algebra of jacobians,* Sibirsk.Mat.Zh., **39**(1998), No.3, 660-669= engl. transl. Siberian Math. J., **39**(1998), no.3, 573-581.

[6] P. Hanlon, M. Wachs, *On Lie k-algebras,* Adv. Math., **113**(1995), 206-236.

[7] J. Hoppe, *On M-algebras, the quantization of Nambu-Mechanics, and the volume preserving diffeomorphisms,* hep-th/9602020 .

[8] A.G. Kurosh, *Multi-operator rings and algebras,* Uspechi Matem.Nauk, **24**(1969), No.1, 3-15.

[9] Y.Nambu, *Generalized Hamiltonian mechanics,* Phys. Rev., D 7, 2405-2412, 1973.

[10] L.A. Takhtajan, *On the foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics,* Commun. Math. Phys., **160**(1994), 295-315.

[11] J. Stasheff, T. Lada, *Introduction to SH Lie algebras fo physicists,* Inter. J. Theor.Physics, **32**(1993), No.7, 1087-1103.

Institute of Mathematics, Pushkin str.125, Almaty, KAZAKHSTAN
E-mail address: askar@math.kz